G.R.S IX—5]

Previous references

2 e 65- 22(3,14,24, (
27, 37, 40, 44 & 76)

19 2 munc

File No 8-22

Serial Nos 1 4

Later references

2 e 193-22(144)
L B 173-29 ch 1, 3, 5 + 14
L B 18-31 Rs 1 & 4 & ap 1

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos √

Part B for April 23

SUBJECT

Levy of toll on the carts entering the Municipal limits in Chuhal dung Town

4 To The D C Chuhal dung, the President such Board, Chuhal dung & the Comptroller no L 6253 7/L 5 4 23

Sanctions the levy of toll

17 7 23

Printed on the Multigraph

L 982 — 22 0838

Filed for perusal
Hdk. xpee 6- para 3 of the
letter it is noted it is not
pleasure of the Tal cl have
agreed to pay the Contractor
as resolved by the DBof 3 Then
objection is based on record &
it will be called for

Yes
dcg
21/8/22

21 8 22
Draft letter further

Issue
dcg
23/8/22

2

The D.C Chitd dtd
No L 1934 dd 21 8 22
Calls for the resolution 2 the
in the matter whether
agree to the proposed
and to part with the
by the Collector

Sir A.
27 4 22

JUDICIAL & REVENUE DEPT
25 AUG 1922
LOCAL SELF GOVTS BRANCH

JUDICIAL
19 AUG
LOCAL SELF GOVTS BRANCH

19

From A K Syed Taj Peeran, Esq, B A,
Deputy Commissioner & President
District Board, Chitaldrug

To
T E. Jayarama Iyer, Esq, B A, B L,
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department, Banagalore

Dated Ml 78-22—1

Despatched 17th August 1922

Received 18 8 22

General No

No.

Enclosure No 2 + 0

Call
19 AUG 1922
dcg

Sir,

Adverting to the Government Order No R 13269/Ml 202-20-2 dated 27th May 1921 sanctioning the levy of toll in the Chital-drug Town on the carts entering the ---- Municipal limits and calling for separate proposals in respect of the two questions i e that no "through" traffic should be taxed by the Municipal Council and that a portion of the income of the Municipal Toll Gate should be made over to District Funds I have the honor to state as ---- follows -

As regards the first question that no through traffic should be taxed, it may be stated that the question is not easy of solution The toll authorized under the Municipal Regualtion is a tax on the articles consumed in the town, through traffic claiming exemption from it This principle is not always observed though the levy has been sanctioned by Government on

5 I recommend that the resolution of the District Board may kindly be sanctioned

6 Copies of the resolution of the District Board and the Municipal Council on the subject is enclosed herein for reference

I have the honorto be,

s i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner & President

12 District Board, Chitaldrug

Subd

The proposed contribution of a share of the Municipal toll revenue to the District Board can be regarded as a contribution for a measure "affecting the convenience of the public and calculated to benefit the residents within the limits of the Contributing Municipality" under clause (b) of the first proviso to s 52

Re

In respect Municipal Regulations or in the respective Local Boards & Village Panchayats Regulations for the realisation from tolls levied by one local body being shared by another local body.

Therefore the Municipal councils are to be entitled to the entire revenue from tolls

In this Connection it is noted that in Bombay the sanction of Govt for the levy of tolls by a Municipality is accorded only when the following conditions are satisfied.

(i) Tolls imposed are moderate in amount

(ii) They are levied only on laden vehicles not paying municipal taxes

(iii) The revenue derived from tolls is expended exclusively on the construction and maintenance of Municipal roads or in payment of the interest of Capital expended on such roads

30/1 The Municipal Council have agreed to pay ½ of the toll revenue to the DLB over the tolls they have executed

L 3020-22 64935
191

From A.K. Syed Taj Peeran, Esq, B.A
Deputy Commissioner &
President District Board
C H I T A L D R U G.

To
T.E. Jayarama Iyer, Esq, B.A.B.L
Secretary to Government
Revenue Department, Bangalore

Dated 12-12-22
Despatched 13-12-22
Received
General No. Affairs 471 dated 23-12-22
No. Ml 78-22-2

Enclosure No. 1

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L. 1934 Ml 78-22-2 dated 24-8-1922 re- the division of the produce of the --- Chitaldrug Municipal Toll-gate between the Chitaldrug District Board and Muni -cipal council, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of the resolu- -tion of the municipal council as ---- desired in the letter under reference.

I have the honour to be,

S I R,

your most obedient servant,

President
District Board, Chitaldrug

992
3080

S. R. S. III 4)

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 19

Serial No

to receive the same - Submitted with reference to the Members minute dated 10 Jan^y 23

Rev Sec)

12 3 23

A/ échéance Collectors
the Municipality of Curaçao has
to lay a bill (Clause III, Sec
5, Hygene Municipal Regulation)
Once the bills thus collected go to
the Municipal Coffers, they can
be spent on, under the provisions
of the Municipal Regulation, there
is nothing in this Regulation to justify
the payment of a portion of the Annual
Sums Collected to the Fe Board
On the other ~~the Municipality bills~~
~~that go to the Board, the Board~~
~~pays within Municipal limits~~,
Hygene, Sec 5 2 says that all
funds received by the Municipal Council
shall be applied within the limits of
the Municipality

6162
17/3/23

Second Member 17/3/23

Note—Centre sheet

30

G R 3 III 2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No of 19

Serial No	
6167	[illegible]
4	To The D.C Chitral [illegible] " Presd Dr & Chitral Dr " Comptroller to Govt No L6255-7 B 5-4-23 Sanction the levy of the toll

NOTE—Contd. b ot

Extract from the proceedings of the ordinary meeting of the Chittal drug Town Municipal Council held on 24 & September 1922

× × ×

Subject-No XII

Read Go no L 1934/Mk 78222 dated 24-8 1922 addressed to the Deputy Commissioner enquiring that of the Chittal drug Town ~~Mk~~ Municipal Council have agreed to levey Toll on "through" traffic and to pay to the District- Board one fifth of such Toll ~~Revenue~~ revenue as resolved by the District Board

Resolution -

Resolved that 1/5 of the toll revenue be paid to the District- Board from the year 1923-24

× × ×

(Sd) A K Syed Taj Peeran
President-
24 9-1922

Extract-

Dn 13 11 22

Vice- President-
Town Municipality
Chittal drug

S U B J E C T No 9 -

Resolution No 1 dated 20th February 1925 of the Sub Committee recommending that the Town Municipal Council Khalsing be asked to pay $\frac{1}{5}$ of their Toll Revenue to the District Board

R E S O L U T I O N -

Resolved that the proposal of the Sub Committee may be accepted

S /- Syed Tajuddin
Deputy Commissioner Presidency,
District Board, Chittagong

======== O ========

" C O P Y "

President District Board

Meeting No. II of 1951-52.

Proceedings of the Meeting of the Directors held at Dresden on the 23rd March 1952.

Present: Herr Dipl. Ing. Richter, Präsident, M.d.A.

Deputy Commissioners & Presidents, District Board, Schneidemühl.

Non-Official Members:

1. Herr Dr. Otto Lehmann Sen., Bromberg, Bahn-Polizei, Schneidemühl.
2. Herr Dr. Gustavsgrund Ryneker, Bahn Office, Schneidemühl.
3. Herr Otto Fabian, Assessor, Ryneker, Inspektor, Schneidemühl.
4. Herr Dr. Max Erdmannsdorff Sen., Bahn, Inspektor, Schneidemühl.
5. Herr Emil Ventzkowski, Bahn, Inspektor, Schneidemühl.

Non-Official Members:

1. Herr Hugo Ehrt.
2. Herr [illegible] Schneidemühl.
3. Herr [illegible] Bromberg.
4. Herr [illegible] Schneidemühl.
5. Herr [illegible] Schneidemühl.
6. Herr [illegible] Schneidemühl.
7. Herr [illegible] Schneidemühl.
8. Herr [illegible] Schneidemühl.
9. Herr [illegible] Schneidemühl.
10. Herr [illegible] Schneidemühl.
11. Herr [illegible] Schneidemühl.
12. Herr [illegible] Schneidemühl.
13. Herr [illegible] Schneidemühl.
14. Herr [illegible] Schneidemühl.
15. Herr [illegible] Schneidemühl.
16. Herr [illegible] Schneidemühl.
17. Herr [illegible] Schneidemühl.
18. Herr [illegible] Schneidemühl.
19. Herr [illegible] Schneidemühl.
20. Herr [illegible] Schneidemühl.
21. Herr [illegible] Schneidemühl.
22. Herr [illegible] Schneidemühl.
23. Herr [illegible] Schneidemühl.
24. Herr [illegible] Schneidemühl.
25. Herr [illegible] Schneidemühl.
26. Herr [illegible] Schneidemühl.
27. Herr [illegible] Schneidemühl.
28. Herr [illegible] Schneidemühl.
29. Herr [illegible] Schneidemühl.
30. Herr [illegible] Schneidemühl.

All the Auditors of the Depots and the Deputy Auditor of Dresden were present at the meeting.

Extract of the Proceedinds of the ordinary meeting of the Chitaldrug Town Municipal Council held on 26th November 1921

x x x x x x

Subject No - ix

Letter No J 471 L F 21-22 dated 7th November 21 from the President District Board, Chitaldrug, asking that 1/3 of the toll revenue may be paid to the District Board to make proposals as to the apportionment of toll revenue and submit the same to Government

Resolution:-

AS this is the first year of the levy of tolls, the Council is not in a position to say how much will be the income annually and therefore it is resolved that the question may be deferred for about three years

x x x x x

sd/ C Venkata Rao,
President

" C o p y "

2 12/21 for President,
Town Municipality

R. S. VI—G/32] 2878

ECT

No. of letter replied to L9822

General and Revenue Secretariat
(LSG)
the 191

Date of issue 24 10 22

Signed by Murdoch

Section Mal
File No 78 22
Serial No 2

No L 1934

To The Secretary
Chetaldroog Taluk

Sir,

With reference to your letter No C 1171, Dt 2122 of the 17 August 1922, I am directed to enquire if the Chetaldroog Town Municipal have agreed to take over toll on "through" traffic and to pay to the Taluk Bd one fifth of the toll

over

2776

toll Revenue Act passed
by the Dail 6/3/22. A copy
of the Irish Free State resolution
in this matter may now be
obtained & Submitted to Govt
at an early date.

J Kavanagh

Sec. to Sec. to Executive Council

HMK
25/8/22

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

G R.S VI G 34]

SUBJECT.. 243

Diary No of letter replied to 3020 L

General and Revenue Secretariat

L S G)

the 192

Date of issue 5/4/23

Signed by [illegible]

Every laden cart 0-4-0
Every unladen Cart 0 2-0

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

including through traffic,

Section ML
File No 78-22
Serial No 4

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read— So no R 13269 Mil 202 no 2 dt the 2nd May 1921 Sanctioning the levy of tolls on carts entering the Mil limits at the Marsmalley noted ante.

2 Letter no C 471 CB 21 22 dt the 17th Sept 1922 from the President T.T.C.B. Soliciting Sanction to the tolls resolution of the B.T.C.B. to the effect that the Bangalore Munl Council pay Order Thereon No one fifth of their revenue from toll to the B.T.C.B. and to increase the Mil cl fee on toll on all wheel traffic, entering the Municipal limits

3 Letter no C 471 CB 21 '22

of the 12th Dec 1922 from
the ~~Asst Supt~~ Deputy
Comr Chitaldroog Dist,
submitting a copy of
the resolution of the
Chitaldroog Town Munic
ipal Council agreeing
to pay to the Govt one
fifth of the toll revenue
from the year 1923 24

Order No 1625-57 dy 5/4/23

Sanction is accorded to
the Chitaldroog Town Mun Cl
levying toll on all wheel
traffic, including through
traffic, entering the Munic-
ipal limits and also to
them paying to the Govt
⅕th one fifth of the revenue
from toll with effect from
the year 1923 24.

List of enclosures nil

Copied by

Examined by

HK 16/12/1922

To The Dy Comr Chitaldroog Dt
" Asst Dr Chitaldroog Dt

WD 1858—GPB— 000 00 21 12-22